

Request to avoid the reading of Printed Answers to Questions.

***Sri M. LINGANNA** (Nanjangud).—Sir, I want to submit one request so far as questions and answers are concerned. Here the questions and answers are printed. It has become the practice of the members of the Treasury Bench to read the printed answer. I think it is not necessary though it is a parliamentary practice. In the Parliament they read answers because members are not supplied with printed answers. Here answers are printed and supplied and it is not necessary to read them. Therefore, we can straightaway proceed to put supplementary questions so that the time of the House may be saved, so far as questions are concerned. I request the Leader of the House kindly to approve my suggestion.

***Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Leader of the House).—I am afraid, I cannot accept the suggestion made for one reason that both questions and answers have got to be read on the floor of the House. In order to save time, the question is not read and also because the person who has put the question and for whom the information is required, knows his question. Therefore we thought it would be a repetition. So far as the answer is concerned, it should be read and it is the practice all over the world and let us keep to it, Sir.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—Then, is it necessary to print the answers? We can avoid printing answers and thereby save some money!

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is provided in the rules.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not the practice in the Parliament that answers are not printed but they are only read?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, they would be printed.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—After the answers are given, they are printed, not when they are answered on the floor of the House. Am I correct, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Their rules are different from ours.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In that case, then, in one hour can we not answer more number of questions by avoiding the reading of answers? I request the Leader of the House to consider that aspect and save some time for us.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—We shall examine the question, Sir.

MOTIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT.

Constitution of Admission Committees to Engineering and Medical Schools and Colleges.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Two notices of motion for adjournment of the business of the Assembly were received on the 18th. I informed the House on that day that they would be considered to-day.

The first motion is in the names of **Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy**, **Sri B. Hutohe Gowda** and **Sri B. V. Narayana Reddy**. It is as follows:—

“That the business of this House do stand adjourned to raise a discussion on a matter of definite urgent public importance and of recent occurrence, viz., the constitution of the admission committees to the Engineering and Medical Colleges, Medical School and Engineering School by the Government in their Order dated 12th June 1952.”

As the Hon'ble Members know, an adjournment motion is intended to raise a discussion on a matter which could not be otherwise discussed under the ordinary procedure of the House and will result in interrupting the appointed business of the House. In order that such interruption may be only in exceptional circumstances and for very special reasons several restrictions have been imposed by the rules. It is also the duty of the Speaker to examine if the purpose of the adjournment motion cannot be secured without upsetting the arranged list of business. For the purposes of the present motion it is enough to state that a uniform and